

Management of Delayed Onset Nodules (DONs)

Seriousness of complication		Frequency of complication	
Minor complication		Common	
Worrying complication		Occasional	
Moderate complication		Infrequent	Х
Serious, but not major	Х	Rare	
Major complication		Very rare	

Title	Management of Delayed Onset Nodules (DONs)				
Authors	Martyn King, Stephen Bassett, Emma Davies, Sharon King				
Date	July 2015	Review Date	July 2017		
Version	1.2				

Management of Delayed Onset Nodules (DONs)

Definition:

For the purpose of these guidelines, a delayed onset nodule (DON) is a visible or palpable unintended mass which occurs at or close to the injection site of dermal filler.

Lumps, masses, nodules, regions of induration, delayed hypersensitivity reactions, biofilms, sterile abscesses¹ and granulomas¹ are all terms used to describe a delayed onset nodule. These categories are prone to substantial overlap, as reflected in the treatment options. To avoid a focus on largely unhelpful histological categories, the term DON is adopted by the Aesthetic Complication Expert Group. A granuloma is actually a histological diagnosis and no lump or nodule should be named as this unless there is histological evidence².

Incidence:

A 4 year retrospective study reported a 0.6-0.8% incidence of hypersensitivity reactions including nodules to hyaluronic acid dermal fillers⁶. True foreign body granulomas are rare with an estimated incidence of between 0.01% and 1%. They can occur with all injectable dermal fillers and usually appear after a latent period of several months to years after treatment^{3,4}. One study reported the incidence of granulomatous foreign body reactions with bovine collagen at 1.3%⁵.

Risk factors:

(a) Patient factors

Delayed onset nodules are more common in immune-reactive patients and particularly those with active autoimmune diseases⁷. Although lacking robust evidence, it would seem prudent to approach with caution patients with rheumatoid diseases, atopic diseases (severe eczema, asthma, hayfever), autoimmune syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA)⁷, multiple medications (especially those taking immunomodulatory agents, corticosteroids, chemotherapeutic and haematological drugs, interleukins, systemic antifungals, novel antidiabetic agents and disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs), significant allergies and of course, previous reactions of any kind to dermal fillers. The risk of granuloma formation is more common in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) compared to the normal population when injecting poly-L-lactic acid and occurred in 8.6% of HIV patients in one study⁵.

Injections in highly mobile areas such as the lips increase the risk of delayed-onset non-inflammatory nodules⁴.

(b) Practitioner factors

The risk of complication is greater for less experienced practitioners and there needs to be a greater focus on anatomy, injection technique and product specific variables. Improper injection technique^{4,7} may result in nodule formation, surface irregularities, overcorrection

and asymmetry⁸. Injection pressure, needle diameter and number, depth and angle of penetration sites can all be factors that may increase the risk of developing a nodule.

(c) Product factors

The increased immunogenicity of the product increases the risk of a delayed onset nodule and this is a function of viscosity, roughness⁹, hydrophobicity⁹, charge⁹, particle size⁹, particle shape⁴ and surface porosity⁹.

Complications appear to be more frequent with particulate fillers⁴ (combination gels) although any injected foreign body elicits a reaction from the host tissue, the magnitude of which depends on the nature of the product¹. The micro-particles of poly-L-lactic acid gel are likely to continuously provoke a host response as long as the degradation takes place and subunits are released, leading to a comparatively high frequency of granulomatous nodules up to 14 months (or more) after injection¹.

Although rarely used nowadays, in one 2008 study, there was an 80% (16 out of 20) incidence of nodule formation when using porcine collagen for lip augmentation and collagenase was ineffective in dissolution of these nodules⁷.

Minimising the risk

DONs carry the potential to produce long-term disfigurement and dissatisfaction for the patient. They tend to occur in visible regions and can be difficult to conceal with make-up. Patient selection and preparation is, as ever, crucial. Select patients with expectations that can be met, absence of significant co-morbidty and polypharmacy or the use of immunomodulatory drugs which may make later management difficult were it necessary.

Select the correct product for the indication that you are treating. Always use a product that has evidence for its use and safety documentation. Ensure you receive your product from a trusted source and that it has been appropriately transported and stored.

The administration of dermal fillers requires an aseptic technique. Thorough cleansing followed by disinfection with 2% chlorhexidine gluconate in 70% alcohol, full removal of skin debris, thorough hand sanitation, and wearing sterile gloves² (See ACE Group guidance on Management of Acute Skin Infections).

Reduce trauma by using the correct gauge needle or cannula appropriate for the chosen product. Ensure injections are in the correct tissue plane and not too superficial and not intramuscular^{4,7} facilitated by appropriate assessment and marking up of the target anatomical region where needed.

Areas of caution

The periorbital region is a challenging area due to a combination of vascular factors, interpenetrating lymphatics, bony prominences, superficial fat compartments and reduced skin thickness and should only be treated by experienced practitioners.

The lips appear to be more prone to developing nodules, either due to the thin mucosa, increased amounts of bacterial flora or the underlying hypermobile muscles, which can cause product clumping and extrusion⁷.

Treatment

If a patient develops a delayed onset nodule, initial assessment needs to include the impact it has on the patient. Some DONs may be palpable within the skin but not visible and they may be best left alone with watchful waiting. Some nodules may be 'disguised' by the injection of dermal filler around the area. If the DON requires treatment, the patient needs to understand the risks versus benefits of treatment and the possible side-effects from intervention before making an informed decision and consent. Ensure good record keeping with photography.

A lump presenting at the time or within hours of treatment is likely to be due to product misplacement, adjuvant anaesthetic or oedema. Massage by the practitioner and the patient should be the immediate response to an over-correction or product placed too superficially^{5,8}. This will lead to mechanical displacement and diffusion and may assist in reducing volume effects. Vigorous massage is of benefit and will help disperse the product⁴. Injection of lidocaine or saline along with vigorous massage may give greater benefit even with non-hyaluronic acid fillers⁴.

Lumps, masses or swelling along with features of acute inflammation (redness, heat, tenderness, pain and swelling) presenting after 3-4 days and before 14 days is likely to be due to infection and should be dealt with accordingly (See ACE Group guidance on Management of Acute Skin infections).

When a product is too superficial or there has been an over-correction, early massage may help smooth the skin and uniformly distribute the injected filler^{8,9}. Small papules or nodules in the early stage may be amenable to aspiration ^{2,5} with a 21G needle or by superficial incision and extrusion^{5,8,9}. If the cause is too much product or too superficial placement of a hyaluronic acid dermal filler, then this can be treated by the administration of hyaluronidase^{2,4,5} (See ACE Group guidance on The Use of Hyaluronidase in Aesthetic Practice). Hyaluronidase should be used with caution if infection is also suspected since this may lead to the infection spreading further along the tissue plane².

Capsular contracture around tissue fillers is rare but can occur, this is when a large bolus of filler has been injected and a capsule develops around the surface exposed to the host tissue. This capsule subsequently contracts creating a nodule or a lump that can be painful. This can be treated by local anaesthetic and aspiration with or without hyaluronidase².

Delayed onset nodules present after weeks or months and may be divided into either non-inflammatory or inflammatory.

Non-inflammatory DONs

Non-inflammatory nodules are often characterised by a cool, firm, discrete, regular surface and are likely to be due to product misplacement or migration and associated chronic immune-inflammatory reaction and possibly low-grade bacterial infection.

The initial management is with basic mechanical displacement and diffusion using saline and/or lidocaine, matching the volume of product injected which you wish to disperse. Subcision may also be attempted using a sharp needle although caution needs to be adopted not to cause further problems with fibrosis or scarring. If this fails to resolve the problem, proceed as for inflammatory lesions.

Inflammatory DONs

Inflammatory nodules will usually have the characteristics of pain, tenderness or redness⁹.

One study observed 55 adverse reactions to polyacrylamide gel out of 40,000 injected patients and that the likely cause was contamination with low-virulence bacteria. When these reactions were treated with steroids or high dose non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication without antibiotics, the prognosis was significantly worse and intensive antibiotics or surgery was sometimes required however if the antibiotics were used in the first instance, the outcomes were more favourable^{1,4}. There is considerable debate about whether biofilms are a cause and whether bacterial contamination is a trigger to a delayed onset nodule, however whether it is the anti-bacterial effect of antibiotics that improve the outcome or the immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory⁷ properties of these drugs instead is academic. Some bacteria are capable of secreting an extracellular polymeric substance to protect themselves from the action of the immune system or drugs and to enter a dormant, planktonic state only to reawaken when conditions are favourable for replication. This may occur following periods of illness or by repeated injections. When they do arise, they can cause granulomatous inflammation, abscesses and nodules².

Initial treatment should be with an antibiotic, either a macrolide (e.g. clarithromycin 500mg BD) or a tetracycline ⁹ (e.g. minocycline 100mg BD or doxycycline 100mg BD) for 2 weeks and then review. It is important that the practitioner is familiar and competent with prescribing oral antibiotics and aware of potential interactions, side-effects and contra-indications.

After 2 weeks, if there is significant improvement but it has not completely resolved, it would be advisable to continue the antibiotics for a further 4 weeks and then review. If there has not been any significant improvement then dual antibiotic therapy should be prescribed⁴. Antibiotics that should be considered are a macrolide (e.g. clarithromycin 500mg BD), a tetracycline (e.g. minocycline 100mg BD or doxycycline 100mg BD) and/or a quinolone (e.g. ciprofloxacin 500mg BD). Due to the potential side effects of quinolones including antibiotic-associated colitis and prolonging the QT interval, the ACE Group recommends that these drugs are used as 3rd line agents if there is intolerance, allergy or other contra-indication for macrolides and tetracyclines. Ciprofloxacin should not be prescribed for a longer duration than 60 days (British National Formulary). Dual antibiotic therapy should continue for 4 weeks and the patient should be reviewed again.

If there has been no significant improvement after 4 weeks and the DON is a result of injection with hyaluronic acid dermal filler then hyaluronidase should be considered ^{9,11} (See ACE Group guidance on The Use of Hyaluronidase in Aesthetic Practice). Hyaluronidase has successfully led to the resolution of a "true hypersensitivity and granulomatous reaction" 5 months after the injection of hyaluronic acid within 24 hours where injected cortisone and topical tacrolimus failed to do so¹⁰. Either mono or dual antibiotic therapy should be continued during this process at the judgement of the practitioner. If there has been a moderate to significant improvement with hyaluronidase, this can be repeated at 4 weekly intervals until complete resolution or the patient has received a satisfactory outcome. If there is no real improvement, the DON should be treated the same as a non-hyaluronic acid filler.

For non-hyaluronic acid fillers, inflammatory DONs can be treated with intralesional steroid injection with good effect^{2,3,4,8,9}. The majority of evidence recommends the use of triamcinolone acetonide 40mg/ml and this can be diluted to lesser dilutions using water for injection or sodium chloride. DeLorenzi² recommends graduated injections of triamcinolone acetonide of 0.1ml starting with a 10mg/ml concentration and then increasing concentration to 20mg/ml and 40mg/ml at 4 weekly intervals. There is a risk of post-treatment soft tissue atrophy (20-30%³) and telangiectasia⁴ when administering intralesional steroids and the patient will need counselling to this effect. If there has been no significant improvement of the DON following the initial intralesional steroid injection then the practitioner may consider the addition of allopurinol³ 300mg BD according to the competence and experience of the practitioner. Again either mono or dual antibiotic therapy should be continued during this process at the judgement of the practitioner.

Refer


If there has still not been any significant improvement then an expert in the management of DONs should be consulted to consider punch biopsy and anaerobic and aerobic culture, resurfacing procedures such as spot dermabrasion or laser, intralesional anti-mitotics (e.g. 5-fluorouracil,) and surgical excision, Lasers have been used to improve the appearance and help disrupt nodules and in particular there is evidence that fractional lasers have improved the visible material in the lower eyelids and lips, and lips

Conservative management is also an option in collaboration with the patient and Carruthers and Carruthers found that all granulomas resolved within 2 years¹³.

Throughout the whole process, the patient should be kept fully informed and under regular review with good documentation and photography. It is recommended to speak to your medical defence organisation early on as they will also be able to advise on the correct course of action and by not doing so may invalidate the policy if a claim does arise.

Management of Inflammatory DONs

Patient presents with an inflammatory delayed onset nodule characterised by pain or tenderness, warmth and/or redness. Options discussed with patient including watchful waiting and treatment. Practitioner needs to discuss the risk versus benefit of the treatment options which may include a protracted course of oral antibiotics, intralesional steroids and hyaluronidase. The practitioner should refer to a more experienced practitioner at any point they feel out of their own competency levels.



^{*}Ciprofloxacin should be considered 3rd line and be prescribed for a maximum of 60 days (BNF)

References:

- 1. Christensen LH. Host Tissue Interaction, Fate, and Risks of Degradable and Nondegradable Gel Fillers. Dermatol Surg 2009;35:1612-1619
- 2. DeLorenzi C. Complications of injectable fillers, Part 1. Aesthetics Surg J 2013;33:561-575
- 3. Lemperle G, Rullan PP, Gauthier-Hazan N. Avoiding and Treating Dermal Filler Complications. Plast and Reconst Surg 2006;118(S3):92-107S
- 4. Funt DK, Pavicic T. Dermal fillers in aesthetics: an overview of adverse events and treatment approaches. Clin, Cosmetic and Investigational Derm 2013;6:295-316
- 5. Cohen JL. Understanding, Avoiding, and Managing Dermal Filler Complications. Dermatol Surg 2008;34:S92–S99
- Andre P. Evaluation of the safety of a non-animal stabilized hyaluronic acid (NASHA-Q-Medical, Sweden) in European countries: a retrospective study from 1997 to 2001. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2004;18:422-425
- 7. Ledon JA, Savas JA, Yang S, Franca K, Camacho I, Nouri K. Inflammatory nodules following soft tissue follow use: A review of causative agents, pathology and treatment options. Am J Clin Dermatol 2013;14(5):401-411
- 8. Sherman RN. Avoiding dermal filler complications. Clinics in Dermatology 2009;27:S23–S32
- 9. Sclafani A, Fagien S. Treatment of injectable soft tissue filler complications. Dermatol Surg 2009;35:1672-1680
- 10. Brody HJ. Use of hyaluronidase in the treatment of granulomatous hyaluronic acid reactions or unwanted hyaluronic acid misplacement. Dermatol Surg 2005;31(8, pt 1):893–7
- 11. Park TH, Seo SW, Kim JK, Chang CH. Clinical experience with Hyaluronic acid-filler complications. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2011 Jul;64(7):892-6
- 12. Reddy KK, Brauer JA, Anolik R, et al. Calcium hydroxylapatite nodule resolution after fractional carbon dioxide laser therapy. Arch Dermatol. 2012;148(5):634–636
- 13. Carruthers A, Carruthers J. Polymethylmethacrylate microspheres/collagen as a tissue augmenting agent. Dermatol. Surg. 31: 1561, 2005

Management of Delayed Onset Nodules (DONs)

Authors/Expert Group

Dr Martyn King Emma Davies RN NIP Dr Stephen Bassett Sharon King RN NIP

Consensus Group

Helena Collier RN NIP

Dr Ben Coyle

Dr Harryono Judodihardjo

Dr Sam Robson

Sponsors







